01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
07		EATTLE
08	PETER J. MCDANIELS,) CASE NO. C12-1289-TSZ-MAT
09	Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C12-1209-13Z-WA1
10	v.	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO ORDER DIRECTING COUNSEL
11	BILL ELFO, et al.,) IDENTIFY PRO BONO COUNSEL)
12	Defendants.))
13)
14	Plaintiff proceeds pro se and in forma pauperis (IFP) in this civil rights action, and	
15	seeks the appointment of counsel. (Dkts. 241)	.) He is currently incarcerated at Stafford Creek
16	Corrections Center, and brings claims regarding his prior confinement at Whatcom County Jail.	
17	(See Dkt. 142.) Having considered the mot	ion for appointment of counsel, as well as the
18	remainder of the record, the Court finds and ORDERS as follows:	
19	(1) There is no right to have coun	nsel appointed in cases brought under § 1983.
20	Although the Court, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915	(e)(1), can request counsel to represent a party
21	proceeding IFP, it may do so only upon a sho	wing of exceptional circumstances. Wilborn v.
22	Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). A finding of exceptional circumstances	
	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO IDENTIFY PRO BONO COUNSEL	

requires an evaluation of both the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of the 02 individual to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. *Id.* These factors must be viewed together before reaching a decision on a request for counsel 03 04under § 1915(e)(1). *Id*. 05 In this case, several of plaintiff's claims have survived motions for summary judgment, including claims relating to plaintiff's religious practices and the conditions of confinement at 06 the jail. (See Dkt. 244; see also Dkts. 195, 215, 220, and 232.) Given the complexity of the legal issues involved in those claims, the Court concludes it will be difficult for plaintiff to 08 continue to pursue this case *pro se*. The Court also notes that other dispositive motions remain 09 pending on the docket (Dkts. 225, 236) and that the Court recently extended the dispositive 10 motion filing deadline (Dkt. 239). Considering all of the above, the Court finds exceptional 11 12 circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel in this matter. However, plaintiff is 13 advised that there is no guarantee pro bono counsel will be identified. The motion for appointment of counsel (Dkt. 241) is, therefore, GRANTED contingent upon the identification 14 15 of counsel willing to represent plaintiff in this matter. 16 (2) The Clerk is DIRECTED to identify counsel from the Pro Bono Panel to represent plaintiff. Upon the identification of pro bono counsel, the Court will issue an order 18 appointing counsel. 19 /// 20 /// 21 22

ORDER DIRECTING CLERK
TO IDENTIFY PRO BONO COUNSEL
PAGE -2

01	(3) The Clerk is further directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff, counsel for	
02	defendants, and to the Honorable Thomas S. Zilly.	
03	DATED this 28th day of May, 2014.	
04		
05	Mary Alice Theiler	
06	Chief United States Magistrate Judge	
07		
08		
09		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO IDENTIFY PRO BONO COUNSEL PAGE -3	